



Shri Harjit Singh,  
S/o Late Sh. Gurbaksh Singh,  
R/o 4072, Street No.3, Gobind  
Colony, Jamalpur,  
Ludhiana.

--Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o Asstt. Commissioner,  
Excise & Taxation,  
Ludhiana-3.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.: 790 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Harjit Singh, complainant/applicant is present.  
Shri Jaspal Singh, ETO is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Asstt.  
Commissioner, Excise & Taxation, Ludhiana-3.

**ORDER**

Shri Harjit Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 01.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Asstt. Commissioner, Excise & Taxation, Ludhiana-3. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 17.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are present today.

The complainant/applicant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

The respondent stated that the sought for information was sent to the applicant through registered post vide letter no.1994 dated 31.03.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 28.09.2021, diarized vide no.21974, which is a copy of letter no.944 dated 17.09.2021 along with a copy of letter no.1994 dated 31.03.2021, copy of letter no.1976 dated 25.03.2021, copy of letter no.1513 dated 01.02.2021 and copy of letter no.1514 dated 01.02.2021. I have gone through all the letters.

The applicant stated that he has received the information sent by the SPIO, but he is not satisfied with the information.

The complainant/applicant has filed RTI application on 01.03.2021 and the SPIO has sent information to the applicant through registered post vide letter no.1994 dated 31.03.2021, which is sent within the stipulated period, so no compliant lies against the SPIO. Hence the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**. In case the applicant is not satisfied with the information sent by the SPIO, then he is at liberty to file First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority within 30 days.

**Dated: 21.10.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

Shri Balwan Gagat, S/o Sh. Bachna Ram,  
Ward No.5, Kahangarh Road,  
Nand Basti, Patran,  
C/o H.No.150, Near Water Works,  
San City Colony, Tehsil Patran,  
Distt. Patiala.

--Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o Director, Rural Development  
& Panchayat Department, Pb.,  
Mohali.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.: 791 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Balwan Gagat, complainant/applicant is absent.  
Shri Shivdeep Singh, Sr. Asstt., RD-5 Branch O/o Director, Rural Development & Panchayat Department, Pb., Mohali is present.

**ORDER**

Shri Balwan Gagat, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 09.04.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Director, Rural Development & Panchayat Department, Pb., Mohali. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 18.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The complainant/applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the applicant sought information regarding Vigilance inquiry, which is still under investigation, so the information cannot be provided to the applicant. He further stated that the reply regarding this has already been sent to the applicant vide letter no.4442 dated 11.05.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.9059 dated 04.10.2021 along with a copy of letter no.4442 dated 11.05.2021. I have gone through the said letters.

The applicant has filed RTI application on 09.04.2021 and the SPIO sent reply to the applicant vide letter no.4442 dated 11.05.2021, so no complaint lies against the SPIO.

So, keeping in view the above, the present complaint case is disposed of and closed with the direction to the First Appellate Authority-cum-Director, Rural Development & Panchayat Department, Pb., Mohali that he should call the complainant-applicant within 30 days and resolve the matter involved in the RTI application by passing a speaking order and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 21.10.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**(Regd.) CC: Director, Rural Development & Panchayat Department, Pb., Mohali.**

**– for compliance of the Order.**

Shri Balwan Gagat, S/o Sh. Bachna Ram,  
Ward No.5, Kahangarh Road,  
Nand Basti, Patran,  
C/o H.No.150, Near Water Works,  
San City Colony, Tehsil Patran,  
Distt. Patiala.

--Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o Director, Rural Development  
& Panchayat Department, Pb.,  
Mohali.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.: 792 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Balwan Gagat, complainant/applicant is absent.  
Shri Shivdeep Singh, Sr. Asstt., RD-5 Branch O/o Director, Rural Development & Panchayat Department, Pb., Mohali is present.

**O R D E R**

Shri Balwan Gagat, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 09.04.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Asstt Director, Rural Development & Panchayat Department, Pb., Mohali. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 18.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The complainant/applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the applicant sought information regarding Vigilance inquiry, which is still under investigation, so the information cannot be provided to the applicant. He further stated that the reply regarding this has already been sent to the applicant vide letter no.4442 dated 11.05.2021.

The applicant has filed RTI application on 09.04.2021 and the SPIO sent reply to the applicant vide letter no.4442 dated 11.05.2021, so no complaint lies against the SPIO.

So, keeping in view the above, the present complaint case is disposed of and closed with the direction to the First Appellate Authority-cum-Director, Rural Development & Panchayat Department, Pb., Mohali that he should call the complainant-applicant within 30 days and resolve the matter involved in the RTI application by passing a speaking order and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 21.10.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**(Regd.) CC: Director, Rural Development & Panchayat Department, Pb., Mohali.**

**– for compliance of the Order.**



Smt. Neena Gupta, W/o Sh. Sushil Kumar,  
#1410, Phase-1, Urban Estate,  
Dugri, Ludhiana.

--Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o SHO, Police Station,  
Civil Lines, Ludhiana.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.: 796 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Sushil Kumar is present on behalf of Smt. Neena Gupta, complainant / applicant.  
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Civil Lines, Ludhiana.

**O R D E R**

Smt. Neena Gupta, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 17.05.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Civil Lines, Ludhiana. When no information was provided to her by the SPIO, then she filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 21.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Shri Sushil Kumar is present on behalf of Smt. Neena Gupta, complainant/applicant, whereas none has come present on behalf of the SPIO.

The representative of the applicant stated that no information has been provided to the applicant by the SPIO till date. He further requested that the sought for information be provided to the applicant.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant U/s 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case.

So, keeping in view the above, the present complaint case is disposed of and closed with the direction to the First Appellate Authority-cum- Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana that he should call the complainant-applicant within 30 days and resolve the matter involved in the RTI application by passing a speaking order and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Dated: 21.10.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**(Regd.) CC: Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.**

**– for compliance of the Order.**



Sh. Ashok Kumar,  
S/o Sh. Suram Singh,  
R/o H.No. 1224, Street No.4,  
Vishal Colony, Kakowal Road,  
Ludhiana.

--Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.: 797 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Ashok Kumar, complainant/applicant is absent.  
ASI Tarsem Lal-1689/LDH is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

**O R D E R**

Shri Ashok Kumar, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 30.04.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. When no information was provided to her by the SPIO, then she filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 21.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

The complainant/applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the applicant sought information regarding UID No.1872667 dated 09.09.2020, but the inquiry in the said case is still pending before the ACP, Crime Against Property, Ludhiana, so the information cannot be provided to the applicant U/s 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act, 2005.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.796-D dated 20.10.2021. I have gone through the said letter and the above mentioned facts have been submitted by the SPIO. A copy of the said letter has been sent to the applicant, Shri Ashok Kumar.

As per the reply submitted by the SPIO that the inquiry is still pending before the ACP, Crime Against Property, Ludhiana, so the information cannot be provided to the applicant U/s 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act, 2005. Hence, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that whenever the inquiry will be completed in the concerned case, the attested copies of sought for information be sent to the applicant through registered post within 10 days and compliance be sent to the Commission.

**Dated: 21.10.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



Shri Pawan Kumar Sharma (Advocate),  
Kothi No.585, Phase-2,  
Mohali.

--Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner,  
Mohali.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.: 798 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Pawan Kumar Sharma (Advocate), complainant/applicant is present.  
Ms. Amandeep Kaur, ETO is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Deputy Excise  
& Taxation Commissioner, Mohali.

**O R D E R**

Shri Pawan Kumar Sharma (Advocate), complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 18.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Mohali. When no information was provided to her by the SPIO, then she filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 21.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are present today. The complainant/applicant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

The respondent stated that the reply has already been sent to the applicant on 01.03.2021 on his e-mail.

The applicant requested that the sought for information be provided to him.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant U/s 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case.

So, keeping in view the above, the present complaint case is disposed of and closed with the direction to the First Appellate Authority-cum-Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Mohali that he should call the complainant-applicant within 30 days and resolve the matter involved in the RTI application by passing a speaking order and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**

**Dated: 21.10.2021**

**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**(Regd.) CC: Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Mohali.**

**– for compliance of the Order.**



Shri Jasbir Singh,  
Guru Nanak Nagar,  
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,  
Post Office Ramgarh,  
Distt. Ludhiana.

--Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o Sub Registrar, Goraya,  
Distt. Jalandhar.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.: 805 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Jasbir Singh, complainant/applicant is present.  
Shri Sahib Dayal, APIO-cum-Naib Tehsildar is present on behalf of the SPIO,  
O/o Sub Registrar, Goraya, Distt. Jalandhar.

**ORDER**

Shri Jasbir Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 04.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Sub Registrar, Goraya, Distt. Jalandhar. When no information was provided to her by the SPIO, then she filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 23.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent APIO stated that the sought for information has been sent to the applicant through registered post vide letter no.11/RC dated 10.08.2021.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the respondent on 01.09.2021, diarized vide no.19651, which is a copy of letter no.296 dated 25.08.2021. I have gone through the said letter and the respondent submitted that the sought for information has been sent to the applicant through registered post vide letter no.11/RC dated 10.08.2021.

The applicant stated that he has not received the information sent by the SPIO.

So, the respondent SPIO is directed that once again the attested copies of sought for information be sent to the applicant through registered post within 2 days and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Dated: 21.10.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**





Shri Jasbir Singh,  
Guru Nanak Nagar,  
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,  
Post Office Ramgarh,  
Distt. Ludhiana.

--Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o Sub Registrar, Phillaur,  
Distt. Jalandhar.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.: 807 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Jasbir Singh, complainant/applicant is present.  
Shri Satwinder Singh, Tehsildar is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Sub Registrar, Phillaur, Distt. Jalandhar.

**O R D E R**

Shri Jasbir Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 13.04.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Sub Registrar, Phillaur, Distt. Jalandhar. When no information was provided to her by the SPIO, then she filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 23.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that the sought for information has been sent to the applicant through registered post on 10.08.2021 and then again on 01.09.2021 the information was provided by hand to the applicant.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the respondent on 13.09.2021, diarized vide no.20781, which is a copy of letter no.101 dated 07.09.2021 along with a copy of letter no.97 dated 11.08.2021.

I have gone through letter no.97 dated 11.08.2021 vide which the information was provided to the applicant and the applicant has executed a duly signed noting dated 01.09.2021 on the said letter after receiving the information.

The applicant/applicant, Shri Jasbir Singh stated that he has received the information and he is satisfied with the information.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information to the applicant and the applicant is satisfied with the information, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Dated: 21.10.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**





Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate,  
Civil Courts, Tehsil Complex,  
Backside Sanjh Kender,  
Phillaur.

.....Appellant.

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/ o SDM,  
Jalandhar-2.

First Appellate Authority:  
O/o SDM,  
Jalandhar-2.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2374 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate, appellant is present.  
Shri Mukesh Kumar, dealing Clerk is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SDM,  
Jalandhar-2.

**O R D E R**

Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate, appellant filed RTI application dated 01.05.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, SDM, Jalandhar-2.

**Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that the information/reply, specifically point-wise, comprising of 18 pages, has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 14.10.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.23/RTI dated 14.10.2021. I have gone through the said letter vide which the SPIO has sent point-wise information/reply to the appellant.

The appellant stated that he has not received the information sent by the SPIO.

So, the respondent SPIO is directed that once again the attested copies of sought for information/reply be sent to the applicant through registered post today itself and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 21.10.2021**

**( Avtar Singh Kaler )  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

Shri Om Parkash, S/o Shri Gobind Ram,  
R/o Village Telupura Dhani,  
Tehsil Abohar, Distt. Fazilka.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,  
Block Khuiyan Sarwar.at Abohar,  
Tehsil Abohar, Distt. Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Distt. Development & Panchayat Officer,  
Fazilka.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1623 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Om Parkash, appellant is present.  
Shri Lal Bahadur, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o  
BDPO, Block Khuiyan Sarwar.at Abohar, Tehsil Abohar, Distt. Fazilka.

**ORDER**

Shri Om Parkash, appellant filed RTI application dated 24.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Block Khuiyan Sarwar.at Abohar, Tehsil Abohar, Distt. Fazilka.

**Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX SOFTWARE.**

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that the sought for information, specifically point-wise, has been sent to the appellant through registered post on 20.10.2021 vide letter no.2459 dated 18.10.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.2459 dated 18.10.2021 along with a photocopy of postal receipt dated 20.10.2021.

The appellant stated that he has received the information sent by the SPIO, but the amount of compensation of Rs.2,000/- has not been paid by the respondent SPIO till date.

I have gone through the Order dated 08.09.2021 and a compensation of Rs.2,000/- was awarded in favour of the appellant to be paid from the funds of public authority by way of demand draft and the SPIO was directed to send the amount of compensation to the appellant through registered post within 10 days along with the attested copies of information and compliance be sent to the Commission.

The SPIO has sent the sought for information, specifically point-wise, to the appellant through registered post on 20.10.2021 and the appellant has received the information, but the amount of compensation has yet not been paid to the appellant by the SPIO.

So, the SPIO is directed that the amount of compensation of Rs.2,000/- from the funds of public authority be paid to the appellant within 2 days and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**

**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**Dated: 21.10.2021**

Shri Om Parkash, S/o Shri Gobind Ram,  
R/o Village Telupura Dhani,  
Tehsil Abohar, Distt. Fazilka.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,  
Block Khuiyan Sarwar.at Abohar,  
Tehsil Abohar, Distt. Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Distt. Development & Panchayat Officer,  
Fazilka.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1624 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Om Parkash, appellant is present.  
Shri Lal Bahadur, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o  
BDPO, Block Khuiyan Sarwar.at Abohar, Tehsil Abohar, Distt. Fazilka.

**ORDER**

Shri Om Parkash, appellant filed RTI application dated 24.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Block Khuiyan Sarwar.at Abohar, Tehsil Abohar, Distt. Fazilka.

**Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX SOFTWARE.**

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that the sought for information, specifically point-wise, has been sent to the appellant through registered post on 20.10.2021 vide letter no.2460 dated 18.10.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.2460 dated 18.10.2021 along with a photocopy of postal receipt dated 20.10.2021.

The appellant stated that he has received the information sent by the SPIO, but the amount of compensation of Rs.2,000/- has not been paid by the respondent SPIO till date.

I have gone through the Order dated 08.09.2021 and a compensation of Rs.2,000/- was awarded in favour of the appellant to be paid from the funds of public authority by way of demand draft and the SPIO was directed to send the amount of compensation to the appellant through registered post within 10 days along with the attested copies of information and compliance be sent to the Commission.

The SPIO has sent the sought for information, specifically point-wise, to the appellant through registered post on 20.10.2021 and the appellant has received the information, but the amount of compensation has yet not been paid to the appellant by the SPIO.

So, the SPIO is directed that the amount of compensation of Rs.2,000/- from the funds of public authority be paid to the appellant within 2 days and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**

**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**Dated: 21.10.2021**



Shri Kuldip Kumar Kaura,  
(Retd. Lecturer), # 5-C, Phase-1,  
Urban Estate, Focal Point,  
Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/ o Director General School Education, PB.  
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority:  
O/o Director General School Education, PB.  
Mohali.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2400 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Kuldip Kumar Kaura, appellant is absent.  
Smt. Veena Verma, Suptd. O/o Director General School Education, PB. Mohali  
is present.

**ORDER**

Shri Kuldip Kumar Kaura, appellant filed RTI application dated 15.10.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Director General School Education, PB. Mohali.

**Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and she stated that in compliance to the Order dated 09.09.2021, the information/reply regarding point nos. 1 and 2 of the RTI application has been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no. 314909-10 dated 13.09.2021 and copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 15.09.2021, diarized vide no. 20996, which is a copy of letter no.314909-10 dated 13.09.2021 along with copy of the Judgment dated 03.10.2012 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in SLP No. 27734 of 2012.

I have gone through the letter sent by the SPIO and it is submitted that the information sought by the appellant through point nos.1 and 2 of the RTI application is personal information and is exempted U/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005. The SPIO has also sent a copy of the Judgment dated 03.10.2012 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in SLP No. 27734 of 2012 in support of the reply.

The SPIO has sent reply to the appellant vide letter dated 13.09.2021 through registered post and a period of more than one month has been passed, but the appellant has not sent any mail to the Commission regarding the reply sent by the SPIO and neither he has attended the hearing for today which means he is satisfied with the reply sent by the SPIO. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.



Shri Sukhchain Singh,  
S/o Shri Kashmir Singh,  
Ward No. 8, Makhu,  
Tehsil Zira, Distt. Ferozepur.

.....Complainant.

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/ o Commissioner of Police,  
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 580 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Sukhchain Singh, complainant/applicant is present.  
SI Balwinder Kumar is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

**O R D E R**

Shri Sukhchain Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 21.09.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

**Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Both the parties are present today.

The complainant/applicant stated that in compliance to the Order dated 09.09.2021 he has visited the office of the SPIO on 13.09.2021, but the sought for information has not been provided to him.

On the other hand SI Balwinder Kumar, who is present on behalf of the SPIO stated that the information which was available in the office of the public authority has been provided to the applicant.

So, keeping in view the above, the present complaint case is disposed of and closed with the direction to the First Appellate Authority-cum-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar that he should call the complainant-applicant within 30 days and resolve the matter involved in the RTI application by passing a speaking order and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 21.10.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**(Regd.) CC: Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.**

**– for compliance of the Order.**



Shri Amarjit Singh,  
S/o Shri Balvir Singh,  
R/o VPO Karnana,  
Tehsil Nawanshehar, Distt. SBS Nagar.

..... Complainant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o SHO, Police Station,  
Koom Kalan, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 662 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Amarjit Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.  
ASI Harmesh Lal is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station,  
Koom Kalan, Ludhiana.

**O R D E R**

Shri Amarjit Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 09.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Koom Kalan, Ludhiana.

**Today the case is heard CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

The complainant-applicant is absent, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that in compliance to the Order dated 23.09.2021 the sought for information has been sent to the applicant through registered post on 25.09.2021.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO-cum-SHO, Police Station, Koom Kalan, Distt. Ludhiana on 28.09.2021 diarized vide no. 21983 which is a copy of letter dated 25.09.2021. I have gone through the said letter and the SPIO has submitted that the sought for information has been sent to the applicant through registered post on 25.09.2021.

The respondent has sent the information to the applicant through registered post on 25.09.2021 and a period of about one month has been passed, but the applicant has not sent any mail regarding the information to the Commission and neither he has attended the hearing for today, which means he is satisfied with the information sent by the SPIO.

As the respondent SPIO has sent the sought for information to the applicant through registered post on 25.09.2021 and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 21.10.2021**

**( Avtar Singh Kaler )  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**